

**Volume**

**1**

## **INTRODUCTION TO CONVERGED NETWORKING**

---

Mark A. Miller, P.E.  
President  
DigiNet Corporation

A technical briefing from:



February 2002

---

# Table of Contents

<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>1. What is a Converged Network?</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Enabling Technologies and Standards</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3. What is the Buzz with Converged Networks?</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4. Benefits to the Enterprise</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5. Challenges for Converged Networks</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6. Looking Ahead</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>7. Acronyms and Abbreviations</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>8. About the Author and Sponsor</b>	<b>10</b>

## Executive Summary

This is the first of six technical briefing papers that examine the concepts, operation and analysis of *converged networks*. The concept of a converged network — one that combines voice, data, and other signal transmissions into a single, higher-speed network interface — has been around for several decades. Advances in Internet-related technologies in general, plus the widespread acceptance of the Internet Protocol (IP), provide the driving factors that enable these infrastructures.

To better understand the concepts of the converged network, one must first see the differences between voice and data network operation. To establish a voice call, the end user sends the network call setup information, which triggers the network to establish a path from source to destination. Once that path has been established, its characteristics should remain static for the duration of the call. This facilitates the transmission of real-time information, such as voice or video. Because a circuit from source to destination has been established, this is called a *circuit-switched connection*.

In contrast, most data transmissions, such as those over the Internet, are packet based. With *packet switching*, the end user drops a small unit of information (or a packet) into the network. Within the packet are source and destination addresses, which the network uses to forward that packet to its intended destination. The absence of an established path, however, makes most packet-switched connections less reliable than circuit-switched connections. Therefore, the transmission of real-time information, such as voice or video, becomes more challenging.

The converged network, which would combine voice and data networks into a single, packet-based network, holds the promise of great economic benefit. As a result, there has been tremendous interest among network managers in evaluating and deploying these systems in the short term, and market statistics confirm this enthusiasm. Potential benefits include reducing the costs of long distance charges through either toll bypass or economies of scale, reducing the costs of maintaining two separate networks, and support for new electronic commerce applications — such as voice-enabled Web sites — that could improve revenue potential.

However, the Internet Protocol, which was designed to support data, is not sufficient in itself to transmit real-time traffic such as voice and video. Additional protocols must be added to the IP-based network infrastructure to fill in these gaps. Fortunately, a number of international standards bodies have collaborated on protocol suites that address these shortcomings. The additional papers in this series will address the operation of converged networks and will illustrate the effectiveness of these.

# 1. What is a Converged Network?

The concept of a *converged network*, one that has the capabilities to transmit voice, data, fax, video, or some combination of these signal types (or media), is not new. Many of these ideas were founded during the development of the Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN), developed by the International Telecommunication Union ? Telecommunications Standard Sector (ITU-T), formerly known as the Consultative Committee for International Telephony and Telegraphy (CCITT), in the 1980s. The concepts at that time were focused on *integrating* voice and data applications into a common transmission system ? typically telephone circuits ? which were enhanced to support the higher bandwidths that the data transmissions required. Thus, having a single telephone line that could support voice, data, and telemetry services, such as reading your electric meter, was envisioned. But as the ISDN developments migrated to the implementation phase, the service found less than overwhelming acceptance. However, the concept of using one pipe to transport information from multiple, dissimilar sources was still sound.

In the 1990s, network managers witnessed the tremendous growth of the Internet, and new applications, such as the World Wide Web, emerged. These applications were designed around the Internet Protocol, or IP, which had traditionally been used to support file transfers, remote host access, and email. The popularity of this protocol spread, and, before long, the operating systems of virtually all host processing systems, from the smallest Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) to the supercomputer, were enhanced to support IP. Thus, IP became a de facto communication platform.

These technology enhancements from the 1990s propelled the networking industry into the next millennium. Unfortunately much of this enthusiasm became tempered with the economic realities of tightened budgets. Now network managers had another issue to address: how can new IP-centric applications, such as electronic commerce, be deployed while still keeping a keen eye on the budget?

That possibility ? reducing the costs of networking infrastructures while at the same time rolling out new revenue-generating applications ? has provided network managers added incentive to revisit the idea of the converged voice/data network.

But before we look into the benefits of the converged network, let's first examine the technical basis for these two systems, voice and data, that will be combined.

## 2. Enabling Technologies and Standards

Communication networks can provide one of two different types of network services: connection-oriented service (CON) and connectionless service (CNLS). The typical model for a connection-oriented network is the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN). When the end user takes the phone off hook, they notify the network that service is being requested. The network then returns dial tone, and the process of establishing the call through the various switching centers begins. Once the call path has been established, some transport characteristics of the path are also determined. These include the sequential nature of the transmission (first in, first out), fixed delay along that path, and so on. Because a circuit from source to destination has been established, this is called a *circuit-switched connection*.

Another important characteristic of circuit switching is its reliability. For example, the PSTN has traditionally operated from an industry objective of 99.999% uptime, which is sometimes referred to as the “five nines”, or “five nines reliability”. This came from a standard used in central office switch design that specified downtime should be less than two hours in forty years of operation. If you work out the math, 40 years equates to 350,400 hours, and 2 hours out of 350,400 hours yields a raw number of 0.00000571. This downtime (or failure) number can be converted to uptime (or reliability) by subtracting from 1, and then converting it to a percentage:

Network reliability =  $1 - 0.00000571 = 0.99999429$ , or 99.999429%

Thus, we see further evidence for why CON networks in general, and the PSTN in particular, are called *reliable networks*.

In contrast, the typical model for a connectionless network is the postal system. The end user fills out an envelope with both source and destination addresses, and then simply drops it in the mailbox. Through the miracles of routing technologies, that envelope finds its way to the destination? or at least it is supposed to. This is the concept upon which *packet switching* is based. A large amount of data is broken into smaller units, called *packets*, for transmission through the network.

Unfortunately, the transport characteristics of a CNLS network are not as reliable as the CON case, and no clear statements about the transport characteristics, such as delivery reliability, packet sequence, or network delay, can be made. This is where the term *best effort service* is used? the network will diligently attempt to deliver the information that the end user transmitted, but there are no guarantees of success.

These reliability issues must be addressed for implementations to be successful. Many organizations have been hard at work to develop standards and protocol suites that

## INTRODUCTION TO CONVERGED NETWORKING

address this challenge. At the forefront of these efforts are the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), and the ITU-T. Here are a few examples of the work that has been produced:

- ETSI: Telecommunications and Internet Protocol Harmonization Over Networks (TIPHON)
- ITU-T: H.225.0, H.323, and H.245 standards for multimedia communications
- IETF: Resource Reservation Protocol (RSVP), Real Time Protocol (RTP), and the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) for multimedia communication over IP-based networks

For network managers, published standards provide greater assurance that products from different vendors will interoperate within an enterprise environment. And as we will see in future papers in this technical briefing series, these new standards and protocols will be required to augment the functions of IP. In addition, extra hardware, such as gateways and gatekeepers, will also be deployed to further meld separate voice and data networks into a cohesive system (Figure 1).




FIGURE 1. **Converged Network Architecture**

### 3. What is the Buzz with Converged Networks?

If you have an interest in converged networks, and specifically in looking at ways to transmit Voice over IP (VoIP) or in ways to build a VoIP infrastructure, you are in good company. Sage Research, Inc. (Natick, Massachusetts) recently studied this market and published their findings in September 2001 in a report titled *IP Telephony: A Market Analysis*. The report states that 69% of the network managers surveyed were either currently evaluating or planning to evaluate some type of VoIP networking deployment (Figure 2).






FIGURE 2. VoIP Status (N = 157). ©2001, Sage Research, Inc. (www.sageresearch.com).

**INTRODUCTION TO CONVERGED NETWORKING**

Organizations planning to evaluate IP telephony solutions are planning to do it fairly soon, as 56% of those surveyed indicated that their evaluation would occur within 12 months (Figure 3).



**FIGURE 3. IP Telephony Evaluation Plans (N = 73). ©2001, Sage Research, Inc. (www.sageresearch.com).**



**FIGURE 4. IP Telephony Deployment Plans (N = 49). ©2001, Sage Research, Inc. (www.sageresearch.com).**

Those organizations planning to deploy VoIP were planning to do so sooner, rather than later, as 55% of those surveyed indicated that they would start using their system in less than one year (Figure 4).

So there is clearly great interest in this technology, and it is coming from many directions. The early adopters of VoIP technologies were considered by many to be hobbyists looking to experiment with transmitting voice and video over the Internet. However, their good work demonstrated the viability of these technologies and quickly grabbed the attention of both the voice and data networking manufacturers. For example, developers of networking hardware, such as routers and switches, realized that they needed to gain expertise on the voice side of the business, and quickly moved to acquire talent in WAN signaling, trunking, and long-haul circuit operation. In contrast, developers of premises switching systems, sometimes called Private Branch Exchanges, or PBXs, realized a need for greater expertise in packet-switching technologies and moved to acquire talent in Internet and IP-related network operation. Thus, these two giant industries — voice networking and data networking — both moved to establish a beachhead within the other segment's stronghold.


Carriers are identifying potential benefits of converged networks within their infrastructures as well. Many carrier infrastructures use high-speed packet-switched or cell-switched technologies in their backbone networks. Encouraging their subscribers to use packet technologies for all their media — voice, data and video — makes for fewer format conversions and greater overall efficiencies. In fact, many new carriers, called Internet Telephony Service Providers (ITSPs), have deployed fiber optics throughout their networks with the intention of providing their subscribers with a common interface for all media transmission.

## 4. Benefits to the Enterprise

Imagine that there was only one communication interface from your office to the outside world. This would mean that you would have fewer cables to connect and fewer systems to manage. If you had any difficulties, there would only be one organization, not several, to call for support. The applications that were available on your computer's desktop could integrate both voice and data applications. These might include voicemail or a fax that could be redirected to your email account when you were away on a business trip, or a Web site that was integrated with a live customer response center, making online purchases easier. In addition, economic benefits would likely be realized, as the cost of a single high-speed connection would likely be less than the cost of multiple lower-speed circuits.

If we extrapolate the benefits to a single network user, and extend those discussions to the entire enterprise, it becomes clear that advantages in cost savings, network

management, and staff expenses may occur as the result of a converged network implementation. Sage Research, Inc.'s study found these and other factors to be important benefits expected from deploying an IP-based voice communication system.



**FIGURE 5. IP Telephony Business Factors (N = 127 for IP PBX; N = 62 for IP Centrex; 48 respondents considered both IP PBXs and IP Centrex). ©2001, Sage Research, Inc. (www.sageresearch.com).**

Of course, no two networks are the same, and each business case analysis will be different. However, if only a few of the above benefits were to be realized for your network, it is likely that a converged network implementation would be viewed as a success.

## 5. Challenges for Converged Networks

The Internet Protocol (IP) which provides the fundamental packet delivery mechanism for the Internet, is a connectionless protocol. It was originally designed to transport data, not voice, and therefore depended upon other higher layer protocols, such as the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) to enhance its reliability. Reliability results for IP-based LANs and WANs vary widely, but it would be safe to say that these statistics are significantly below the 99.999% reliability found with the PSTN.

Herein lies the key challenge for converged networks:

*How do you take a connection-oriented application (such as voice or video) and transmit it over a connectionless network (such as an IP network, or the Internet), and do so reliably?*

This challenge should be considered from three perspectives: network infrastructure, continuing network management, and end-user quality of service.

The network infrastructure has likely been designed in support of voice communications or data communications, but not both. In other words, let us suppose that you are considering adding IP voice services or video conferencing to your existing internetwork. Does the network have the capacity for these additional, bandwidth-intensive, applications?

For the network manager, one of the key challenges may be education. Most separate voice and data networks also had separate management staffs and systems. Thus, if you had responsibility for the voice WAN, you were only peripherally involved in issues concerning the local data networks. As a result, you were not likely to develop expertise in LAN technologies. If you are now responsible for both voice and data elements, you must develop network management and analysis expertise in both these areas.

But perhaps the greatest challenge is keeping the end users satisfied with the service provided by your internetwork. Even though your end users may not be technologists who understand the interworkings of computer communication systems, they know how to operate the telephone, and they know from their experience how reliable the telephone system is. They have been conditioned to expect a high quality of service. Anything less than meeting their existing expectations is likely to be unacceptable.

These three challenges ? infrastructure, management and quality of service ? will be examined in detail in the subsequent technical briefs. The following section briefly overviews what is to come.

## 6. Looking Ahead

This is the first of six technical briefs on Converged Networks sponsored by Sniffer Technologies. Titles of other volumes in the series to be released include:

**Protocols for the Converged Network:** a look at the components of the converged network, the ITU-T and IETF multimedia protocol suites, and the protocols required by each component.

**Implementing the Voice over IP Network:** issues to consider before you jump in, including existing network utilization, planning for new applications, network design, and interoperability testing.

**Managing Call Flows Using H.323:** the operation of the H.323 family of multimedia protocols, illustrated with case studies and output from the *Sniffer* protocol analyzer that show converged network operation from the H.323 protocol perspective.

**Managing Call Flows Using SIP:** the operation of the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and the IETF multimedia protocol suite, again illustrated with case studies and output from the *Sniffer* protocol analyzer.

**Supporting the Converged Network:** this concluding paper will deal with on-going support requirements, including: multivendor interoperability, traffic prioritization, WAN bandwidth optimization and quality of service optimization

## 7. Acronyms and Abbreviations

CCITT	Consultative Committee for International Telephony and Telegraphy
CON	Connection-oriented network service
CNLS	Connectionless network service
ETSI	European Telecommunications Standards Institute
IETF	Internet Engineering Task Force
IP	Internet Protocol
ITSP	Internet Telephony Service Provider
ISDN	Integrated Services Digital Network
ITU-T	International Telecommunication Union ? Telecommunications Standard Sector
LAN	Local Area Network
PBX	Private Branch Exchange
PDA	Personal Digital Assistant
PSTN	Public Switched Telephone Network
RSVP	Resource Reservation Protocol
RTP	Real-time Transport Protocol
SIP	Session Initiation Protocol
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
TIPHON	Telecommunications and Internet Protocol Harmonization Over Networks
VoIP	Voice over Internet Protocol
WAN	Wide Area Network

## 8. About the Author and Sponsor

Mark A. Miller, P.E., is President of DigiNet Corporation, a Denver-based consulting engineering firm providing services in internetwork design, strategic planning, network management and new product development. Mr. Miller is the author of nineteen books on network analysis, design, and management. His latest book is titled *Voice over IP Technologies, Strategies for the Converged Enterprise*, published in 2002 by M&T Books, Inc. a division of John Wiley (Indianapolis, Indiana). He is a frequent presenter at industry events and has taught at the ComNet, CT Expo, Internet Telecom Expo, Network+Interop, Comdex, and other conferences. He holds B.S. and M.S. degrees in electrical engineering, and is a registered professional engineer in four states. For more information, DigiNet Corporation may be reached at 303-682-5244 or on the Internet at <http://www.dignet.com>.

Sniffer Technologies, a division of Network Associates, is a leading provider of network and application management solutions designed to ensure e-business uptime. Supporting one of the widest ranges of network topologies in the industry, the Sniffer Total Network Visibility (TNV) suite is an integrated solution enabling enterprises and service providers to cost-effectively keep their networks and applications up and running at peak performance. As one of the most trusted solutions for monitoring, troubleshooting, reporting, and proactively managing network availability and performance, the Sniffer TNV suite meets the demanding 24x7 availability requirements of e-business Web sites, Internet applications, converged voice, video, and data networks, and high speed switched and optical networks. For more information, Sniffer Technologies can be reached on the Internet at <http://www.sniffer.com>.

With headquarters in Santa Clara, CA, Network Associates, Inc. is a leading supplier of network security and availability solutions. Network Associates is comprised of three product groups: McAfee, delivering world class anti-virus and security products; Sniffer, a leader in network availability and system security; and Magic, providing Web-based service desk solutions. For more information, Network Associates can be reached at 972-308-9960 or on the Internet at <http://www.nai.com>.

### Copyright

This paper is copyright © 2002 DigiNet Corporation. All rights reserved.

### Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

Information contained in this work has been obtained by the author and sponsor from sources believed to be reliable. However, neither the author nor the sponsors guarantee the accuracy or completeness published herein, and neither the author nor the sponsor shall be responsible for any errors, omissions, or damages arising out of the use of this information. This work is published with the understanding that the author and sponsor are supplying information but are not attempting to render engineering or other professional services. If such services are required, the assistance of an appropriate professional should be sought.

### Trademarks

DigiNet is a registered trademark of Digital Network Corporation.

Network Associates, Sniffer, Total Network Visibility, TNV, McAfee and Magic Solutions are registered trademarks of Network Associates, Inc. and/or its affiliates in the United States and/or other countries.

All other registered and unregistered trademarks in this document are the sole property of their respective owners.